

OFFICIAL

From: Cllr. Steve Mason <Cllr.Steve.Mason@northyorks.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 September 2022 15:12
To: Richard Marr <Richard.Marr@northyorks.gov.uk>
Cc: serena farrow <serenafarrow@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: Barton le Street - footpaths and bridleways - Cllr Steve Mason

Thanks Richard

I have cc'd in Serena, the Hovingham clerk, for info on our conversation.

I note the previous speeding results which I suspect will not have changed significantly in the past couple of years, but if in doubt of the results I'm happy to pay for 2022 surveys to be sure from my locality budget.

For some reason I cannot find the attachment re RSTG so just for clarity....

As I understand it the average is calculated in 'mean' average, which sounds slightly unreliable, surely we should be looking at the 'mode' average. Or number of occurrences that vehicles are breaching the limit. After all its these occurrences that are concerning residents.

As I look at this data 85% of vehicles are breaking the enforceable limits? Is that right? If so, then Hovingham should be a place we are seeking to put in speed management surely?

Hovingham results

- RY1810810 - Park Street, Hovingham, 30mph limit – survey period 10/06/2021 – 16/06/2021 – results 85% speeds of 35 /38 mph – RSTG decision – Enforcement or Community Speed Watch.
- RY2011340 – B1257 Malton Road, Swinton – near the old chapel – 40 mph limit – survey period 16/10/21 – 23 /10/2021 results mean speeds 39/39 85% speeds of 44/44 mph – RSTG decision – No further action.

Previous speed surveys in the area. The results are as follows;

I assume this first one is meant to be a 40mph zone otherwise it contradicts the other results?

- B1257 Malton Road, Hovingham (east end of the village) -30 mph limit (2) – survey period 08/07/2022 – 15/07/2022 – results, mean speeds of 33.3 mph and 85% speeds of 40.1 mph. These results would not trigger enforcement action.
- Park Street, Hovingham – 30 mph limit – survey period 10/06/2022 – 16/06/2022 – results, mean speeds of 29.7 mph and 85% speeds of 36.9 mph. This might trigger police enforcement as the 85% figure is just more than 10% + 2mph which are the ACPO guidelines for enforcement.
- B1257 – Off Hall Green, Hovingham – 30 mph limit – survey period 10/06/2022 – 16/06/2022 – results, mean speeds of 25.2 mph and 85% speeds of 29.2 mph. The results would not trigger police enforcement.
- B1257 – Hall Farm, Hovingham (north of the village) – 30 mph limit – survey period 10/06/2022 – 16/06/2022 – results, mean speeds of 32.7 mph and 85% speeds of 38.1 mph. These results could trigger enforcement.

You said that in the past Hovingham looked at introducing some gateway features on the approaches to the village but I understand these were refused by the estate. Which features were proposed?

On a separate issue, Yorkshire Water have conducted a survey of a section of pipeline which causes flooding, at this point we are waiting for the results from them, but I would like to run it by your team at some point to gauge what potential impacts any flooding may cause to the highways network there. remedial works need to be done and in my opinion YW should really take responsibility for it.

With my best regards

Steve

PS.

I would also like to assess the speeding into Gt Habton from Newsham Bridge direction and from Kirby Misperton direction. We had planned to conduct a survey in early 2020 with Ryedale but circumstances got in the, though I did notice some wired system in place since opposite the cricket ground? Was that a speeding study?

And I'll forward your response to the Barton le Street report you were given

From: Richard Marr <Richard.Marr@northyorks.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 September 2022 10:17
To: Cllr.Steve Mason <Cllr.Steve.Mason@northyorks.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: Barton le Street - footpaths and bridleways - Cllr Steve Mason

Morning Steve

With reference to your recent e-mail I can offer the following:

Footways within Barton le Street:

- With regard to the missing section of footway, we will assess this and see if we can secure funding to install it. I do not have a budget for new footways and bids have to be submitted and considered centrally.
- Areas 2, 3 and 4 will also be assessed alongside our Safety Inspection Manual (available online at www.northyorks.gov.uk) and any dangerous defects will be attended to. Restricting parking here, or installing a kerb will have to follow the same route as Area 1 as I do not have a budget for "improvements".
- As for the surrounding paths and bridleways, I have passed your e-mail to our PROW section as they deal with these in a separate office.

With regards to the speed concerns raised by residents or Parish Councils. In North Yorkshire they are dealt with through the Speed Management Protocol which is a multi-agency protocol run by the York and North Yorkshire Road Safety Partnership. It is a way of determining the true speeds of vehicles through an area and then prioritising any enforcement action or whether the area is suitable for Community Speed Watch. The attached document provides more details on this process and how decisions are made. Looking at the most recent database several of the villages have already gone through this process. Here is the information;

- RY1810810 - Park Street, Hovingham, 30mph limit – survey period 10/06/2021 – 16/06/2021 – results 85% speeds of 35 /38 mph – RSTG decision – Enforcement or Community Speed Watch.

- RY2011340 – B1257 Malton Road, Swinton – near the old chapel – 40 mph limit – survey period 16/10/21 – 23 /10/2021 results mean speeds 39/39 85% speeds of 44/44 mph – RSTG decision – No further action.

There are some older results but these are no longer of use. Neither site had any sort of collision history. I cannot see any new requests for any of the other villages along the B1257.

We have also carried out our own speed surveys in the area. Some of these were funded by Cllr Caroline Goodrick during her time as the local member. The results are as follows;

- B1257 west of Amotherby – 40 mph limit - survey period 07/07/2022 – 15/07/2022 – results, mean speeds of 38.6mph and 85% speeds of 43.3 mph. These results would not trigger enforcement action by the police.
- B1257 between Amotherby and Swinton – 40 mph limit – survey period 07/07/2022 – 16/07/2022 – results, mean speeds of 37.6 mph and 85% speeds of 41.9 mph. These results would not trigger enforcement action.
- B1257 Malton Road, Hovingham (east end of the village) -30 mph limit – survey period 08/07/2022 – 15/07/2022 – results, mean speeds of 33.3 mph and 85% speeds of 40.1 mph. These results would not trigger enforcement action.
- Park Street, Hovingham – 30 mph limit – survey period 10/06/2022 – 16/06/2022 – results, mean speeds of 29.7 mph and 85% speeds of 36.9 mph. This might trigger police enforcement as the 85% figure is just more than 10% + 2mph which are the ACPO guidelines for enforcement.
- B1257 – Off Hall Green, Hovingham – 30 mph limit – survey period 10/06/2022 – 16/06/2022 – results, mean speeds of 25.2 mph and 85% speeds of 29.2 mph. The results would not trigger police enforcement.
- B1257 – Hall Farm, Hovingham (north of the village) – 30 mph limit – survey period 10/06/2022 – 16/06/2022 – results, mean speeds of 32.7 mph and 85% speeds of 38.1 mph. These results could trigger enforcement.

In the past Hovingham did look at introducing some gateway features on the approaches to the village but I understand these were refused by the estate.

In the residents attachment he mentions funding the landowner to install a smaller fence/hedge. Again, I do not have a budget for works like this and NYCC would only fund works on third party property as part of an accident reduction scheme. Such schemes are only carried out at locations with a high existing injury accident history. Perhaps the Parish Council could fund this?

Enforcement of speed limits is a Police matter and any concerns should be directed to them.

As for Kirby Misperton and Amotherby access issues, again, I do not have a budget for new things like this.

I hope this answers all the questions, but please let me know if I have missed anything.

Thanks

Richard